EXHIBIT H

		Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA	
3		
4	TA A DESIGNATION OF THE PARTY O	
5	W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his) capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL) OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and)	
б	OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE)	
7	ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,) in his capacity as the TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)	
8	FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,)	
9	Plaintiff,)	
10	vs. (4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ	
11	TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,	
12	Defendants.)	
13		
14	THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	
15	ROGER TOURANGEAU, PhD, produced as a witness on	
16	behalf of the Defendants in the above styled and	
17	numbered cause, taken on the 8th day of April, 2009,	
18	in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of	
19	Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A. Steinmeyer, a Certified	
20	Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under and by	
21	virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
		i.

		Page 57
1	A What was critical to us was to present a	
2	solution to people that was plausible, that they	
3	could understand and that they accepted, and we	
4	presented a solution involving alum and other steps	
5	the State would take, might take to restore the	09:50AM
6	river and lake to 1960 conditions, and in order to	
7	obtain the information we needed, we presented the	
8	scenario.	
9	Q So all that matters is that it has to be	
10	plausible?	09:51AM
11	MS. XIDIS: Objection to form.	
12	A Well, the various things I said. It has to be	
13	plausible, understood and they have to accept it.	TO CONTROL LINE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF
14	Q And that's it in terms of survey design as far	
15	as your ethical obligation?	09:51AM
16	MS. XIDIS: Objection to form.	
17	A We gave them information so they could make a	
18	decision and we recorded their answers honestly.	
19	Q When you were conducting the CV survey, did	
20	you inform the respondents that some of the	09:52AM
21	information you were giving them was hypothetical or	
22	did you present it as truth?	
23	MS. XIDIS: Objection to form.	
24	A Could I see the CV survey before I comment?	
25	Q Sure.	09:52AM

		Page 127
1	certainty or uncertainty or it could be a	
2	hypothetical question. You know, I don't know. I	
3	don't have a general rule on that particular issue	
4	in my mind, no.	
5	Q What about in connection with this survey?	11:54AM
6	A I don't think we tried to portray information	
7	a certain you know, I think and it's apparent	
8	from the responses to the follow-up questions that a	
9	lot of people didn't believe what we said about some	•
10	aspects. So I don't think we misled anybody about	11:54AM
11	the level of certainty associated with the	
12	information.	
13	Q Do you think people might have changed their	
14	votes had they known that the alum restoration	
15	program was not something that was even evaluated by	11:54AM
16	the State's restoration consultant?	
17	MS. XIDIS: Object to form.	
18	A I don't understand that, so I doubt it would	
19	have much impact on them. You want to read it	
20	again?	11:54AM
21	Q Yeah. You presented the alum restoration	
22	program as something that would work, that the State	
23	was considering doing in order to solve this	
24	problem. Do you think it would have been important	
25	to the recipients to know that the State's	11:55AM
		j

		Page 128
1	restoration expert had not even evaluated it?	
2	MS. XIDIS: Objection to form.	
3	A I think we've been over this a lot of times.	
4	What was important to us about the alum program was	
5	that people thought it would solve the problem, that	11:55AM
6	they understood it and they accepted it. The	
7	State who was it evaluation expert's view of	
8	it, I don't see it as relevant.	
9	Q Would you agree that people's preferences are	
10	essentially constructed during the survey interview?	11:55AM
11	MS. XIDIS: Objection to form.	
12	A I'm not sure what you mean.	
1.3	Q Do you think that people walked into the	
14	survey room with an opinion about restoration time	
15	periods on the Illinois River and Tenkiller Lake, or	11:56AM
16	do you think that that opinion was formed during	
17	this survey process?	
18	A I think in most surveys answers to most	
19	opinion questions are a mix. Some people come into	
20	the survey with a readymade answer. Other people	11:56AM
21	have values and beliefs from which they can	
22	formulate an answer to the particular question at	
23	hand. So it's a blend of people who have a view	See and the see an
24	versus people who don't have a view. Just like when	
25	you go into a store or something and you want to buy	11:56AM